

Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 USUN N 04724 01 OF 04 032051Z

70

ACTION IO-10

INFO OCT-01 ARA-10 EUR-12 ISO-00 AF-06 EA-09 NEA-10

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05

PA-02 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-15 DHA-02 /116 W

----- 116943

R 031918Z OCT 75

FM USMISSION USUN NY

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2554

INFO AMEMBASSY GUATEMALA

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MEXICO

AMCONSUL BELIZE

UNCLAS SECTION 1 OF 4 USUN 4724

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: UNGA, GT, BH, UK, PBOR

SUBJ: 30 UNGA: RIGHT OF REPLY STATEMENTS ON GUATEMALAN
STATEMENT CONCERN BELIZE

REF: USUN 4711

THE FOLLOWING IS THE VERBATIM TEXT OF THE MEXICAN, UK
AND GUATEMALAN STATEMENTS GIVEN IN THEIR RIGHTS OF
REPLY ON THE GUATEMALA PLENARY STATEMENT.

QUOTE:

MR. GALLARDO MORENO (MEXICO) (INTERPRETATION FROM SPANISH):
MY DELEGATION WILL STUDY WITH THE ATTENTION IT DESERVES
THE STATEMENT MADE HERE BY THE FOREIGN MINISTER OF
GUATEMALA IN RELATION TO THE QUESTION OF BELIZE. WE SHALL
DECIDE WHETHER WE NEED TO MAKE ANOTHER STATEMENT ON THE
MATTER.

MR. RICHARD (UNITED KINGDOM): WE HEARD EARLIER THIS AFTERNOON
A STATEMENT BY THE FOREIGN MINISTER OF GUATEMALA IN WHICH
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 04724 01 OF 04 032051Z

HE ASSERTED HIS COUNTRY'S CLAIM TO THE TERRITORY OF

BELIZE, AND DID SO IN TERMS WHICH, IF THEY WERE HEEDDED BY THIS ORGANIZATION, WOULD DENY TO THE GVERNMENT AND THE PEOPLE OF BELIZE THE UNIMPEDED EXERCISE OF THEIR RIGHT TO SELF-DETERMINATION AND INDEPENDENCE.

IT WILL, I THINK, COME AS NO SURPRISE TO THE ASSEMBLY IF I SAY ON BEHALF OF MY GOVERNMENT THAT GUATEMALA'S ATTITUDE IS REGRETTABLY ANACHRONISTIC AND DEVOID OF ANY LEGAL FOUNDATION. OTHER SPEAKERS SO FAR IN THIS GENERAL DEBATE -- NAMELY, THE FOREIGN MINISTERS OF JAMAICA, BARBADOS AND GUAYANA -- CLEARLY SHARE OUR VIEW.

THE FOREIGN MINISTER OF GUATEMALA DEVOTED MUCH OF HIS TIME TO AN EXAMINATION OF THE HISTORY OF BELIZE. IT WILL BE OBSERVED THAT BY HIS OWN ADMISSION THE REPUBLIC OF GUATEMALA HAS NEVER AT ANY TIME OCCUPIED THE TERRITORY NOW KNOWN AS BELIZE. THE BRITISH TITLE TO THE TERRITORY HAS BEEN UNEQUIVOCALLY RECONGIZED BY GUATEMALA IN THE PAST.

HOWEVER THE GUATEMALAN CASE MAY NOW BE PUT, THERE IS NO DOUBT THAT IT RESTS ON A VERY LATE AND A VERY ARTIFICIAL ATTEMPT TO UNSAY THAT CLEAR RECOGNITION AND TO UNDO THE CLEAR FACTS OF THE PAST 150 YEARS AND MORE.

IF THERE IS A REAL AND RESPECTABLE LEGAL ISSUE INVOLVED, IT CONCERNS NO MORE THAN THE CONSEQUENCES, AS BETWEEN GUATEMALA AND BRITAIN, OF A MUTUAL FAILURE IN THE LASTCENTURY TO CARRY OUT A PROVISION OF THE CONVENTION OF 1859, TO WHICH THE FOREIGN MINISTER OF GUATEMALA REFERRED. WHAT THAT PROVISION REQUIRED WAS THE JOINT CONSTRUCTION OF A CART ROAD AS A MEANS OF COMMUNICATION BETWEEN GUATEMALA CITY AND THE ATLANTIC COAST. I REALLY DO SUBMIT TO THIS ASSEMBLY THAT A QUARREL OVER A CART ROAD COULD, IF NECESSARY, BE SETTLED DIRECTLY BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENTS OF BRITAIN AND GUATEMALA. IT IS QUITE IRRELEVANT TO PRESENT-DAY RELAITIES. INDEED, I MIGHT OBSERVE THAT IF THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE CART ROAD WOULD SETTLE THIS DISPUTE, PERHAPS EVEN AT THIS LATE HOUR MY GOVERNMENT WOULD BE PREPARED TO CONSIDER CONSTRUCTING ONE.

SO FAR AS THE GOVERNMENT AND TTHE PEOPLE OF BELIZE ARE
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 USUN N 04724 01 OF 04 032051Z

CONCERNED -- AND IT IS BELIZE'S FUTURE, AFTER ALL, THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT -- THE QUESTION AT ISSUE IS QUITE DIFFERENT AND IS VERY SIMPLE: WHY THEY, ALMOST ALONE OF ALL THE PEOPLES IN THIS WORLD, SHOULD BE DENIED THEIR RIGHT TO SELF-DETERMINATION AND INDEPENDENCE, FOR WHICH THEY HAVE WAITED SO LONG.

TWELVE YEARS HAVE PASSED SINCE BELIZE, THEN KNOWN AS BRITISH

HONDURAS ACHIEVED FULL INTERNAL SELF-GOVERNMENT. THERE ARE MANY DELEGATIONS IN THIS ROOM WHO ARE FAMILIAR WITH THE PATTERN OF DECOLONIZATION TO WHICH MY GOVERNMENT HAS ADHERED ELSEWHERE IN THE WORLD. WHEN INTERNAL SELF-GOVERNMENT WAS INTRODUCED IN BELIZE, IT WAS NEVER EXPECTED TO BE MORE THAN A TRANSITIONAL STAGE BEFORE EARLY INDEPENDENCE, AN INDEPENDENCE WHICH WE, FOR OUR PART, HAVE REPEATEDLY AND PUBLICLY STATED WE ARE READY TO GRANT AND FOR WHICH BELIZE HAS BEEN ANXIOUSLY WAITING FOR YEARS.

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 USUN N 04724 02 OF 04 032103Z

70

ACTION IO-10

INFO OCT-01 ARA-10 EUR-12 ISO-00 AF-06 EA-09 NEA-10

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05

PA-02 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-15 DHA-02 /116 W

----- 117118

R 031918Z OCT 75

FM USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2555

INFO AMEMBASSY GUATEMALA

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MEXICO

AMCONSUL BELIZE

UNCLAS SECTION 2 OF 4 USUN 4724

WE HAVE NO DESIRE TO REMAIN IN BELIZE A MOMENT LONGER THAN THE PEOPLE OF BELIZE WANT US TO. THE SOLE OBSTACLE TO THE INDEPENDENCE OF BELIZE HAS BEEN, AND IS, GUATEMALA'S CONTINUING DESIRE TO ASSERT ITS CONTROL FOR THE FIRST TIME OVER A PEOPLE WHOSE HISTORY, CULTURE AND WAY OF LIFE ARE, AND HAVE ALWAYS BEEN, QUITE DIFFERENT FROM ITS OWN.

THE FOREIGN MINISTER OF GUATEMALA MADE REFERENCE TO PAST PROPOSALS THAT BELIZE SHOULD HAVE SOME FORM OF ASSOCIATED STATEHOOD WITHIN THE REPUBLIC OF GUATEMALA. UNDER SUCH A SYSTEM, IF I UNDERSTAND ITS FEATURES CORRECTLY, BELIZE WOULD NOT HAVE HAD RESPONSIBILITY FOR ITS OWN FOREIGN AFFAIRS AND DEFENCE, NOR EVEN, PERHAPS, FOR ITS OWN INTERNAL SECURITY. I NEED HARDLY SAY THAT THIS PROPOSAL

WAS, AND IS, QUITE UNACCEPTABLE BOTH TO MY GOVERNMENT AND TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BELIZE WHICH HAS ALWAYS STOOD FOR INDEPENDENCE.

INDEED, SUCH A PROPOSAL AMOUNTS TO PUTTING THE CLOCK BACK, SINCE BELIZE AT THE MOMENT ENJOYS A LARGE AMOUNT OF DELEGATED RESPONSIBILITY IN THE CONDUCT OF ITS EXTERNAL AFFAIRS, PARTICULARLY IN ITS RELATIONS WITH THE CARIBBEAN COMMON MARKET OF WHICH BELIZE IS A MEMBER.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 04724 02 OF 04 032103Z

IN ANY EVENT, IT IS NOT WHAT THE PEOPLE OF BELIZE WANT. THEY WANT INDEPENDENCE AND THEY WANT FULL INDEPENDENCE AS EQUALS. THEIR STAND HAS RECEIVED UNANIMOUS SUPPORT THIS YEAR BOTH AT THE COMMONWEALTH PRIME MINISTERS CONFERENCE IN JAMAICA AND, MORE RECENTLY, AT THE MEETING IN LIMA OF FOREIGN MINISTERS OF THE NON-ALIGNED STATES. NEITHER MY GOVERNMENT NOR THE GOVERNMENT OF BELIZE HAS EVER ADOPTED AN INTRANSIGENT ATTITUDE. I WOULD TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY, IF I MIGHT, TO REAFFIRM PUBLICLY THAT WE ARE BOTH VERY READY AND HAVE ALWAYS BEEN READY TO DISCUSS ANY CONSTRUCTIVE SUGGESTIONS FOR ECONOMIC CO-OPERATION, BETTER COMMUNICATIONS, SPECIAL ACCESS TO GUATEMALAN GOODS IN BELIZIAN PORTS AND SO ON.

IT HAS, HOWEVER, ALWAYS BEEN A BASIC PREMISE OF ANY SUCH AGREEMENT THAT BELIZE, LIKE EVERYBODY ELSE, WILL ENJOY INDEPENDENCE AND SOVEREIGN EQUALITY. THESE TWO COUNTRIES ARE NEIGHBOURS. THEY WILL HAVE TO LIVE WITH EACH OTHER. THE GOVERNMENT OF BELIZE SINCERELY DESIRES GOOD RELATIONS WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF GUATEMALA. BUT GOOD RELATIONS CAN HARDLY BE MAINTAINED SO LONG AS THE GOVERNMENT OF GUATEMALA INSISTS THAT BELIZE SHOULD HAVE ONLY SUBORDINATE POWERS.

IT SADDENS ME IN MANY WAYS THAT I SHOULD HAVE TO TAKE THE FLOOR TO REPLY TO A REPRESENTATIVE OF GUATEMALA. MY COUNTRY HAS EXCELLENT RELATIONS WITH GUATEMALA AND, INDEED, WITH ALL OF LATIN AMERICA. WE DESIRE TO IMPROVE THOSE RELATIONS IN ALL WAYS POSSIBLE. IT WAS A SOLEMN MOMENT FOR THE BRITISH WHEN WE WERE INVITED TO TAKE PART LAST YEAR IN THE CELEBRATIONS TO COMMEMORATE THE ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTIETH ANNIVERSARY OF THE BATTLE OF AYACUCHO WHICH BROUGHT INDEPENDENCE TO LATIN AMERICA. THE EVENTS OF THOSE TUMULTUOUS YEARS SAW THE RISE OF NATIONAL CONSCIOUSNESS IN LATIN AMERICA. IT SADDENS US THAT BELIZE, WHICH HAS A STRONG NATIONAL CONSCIOUSNESS OF ITS OWN, SHOULD BE DENIED THE FREEDOM TO EXERCISE IT, AND THAT BY A COUNTRY WHICH ITSELF 150 YEARS AGO SHOOK OFF COLONIAL RULE.

IN SHORT, MY GOVERNMENT DESIRES TO ACT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PRINCIPLES OF GENERAL ASSEMBLY RESOLUTION 1514 (XV) AND IN THE LIGHT OF THE EXPRESSED WISHES OF THE PEOPLE OF BELIZE.

WE MUCH REGRET THE CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH HAVE DELAYED THE IN-
DEPENDENCE OF BELIZE. BUT WE CONTINUE TO HOPE THAT, WITH THE
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 USUN N 04724 02 OF 04 032103Z

AGREEMENT OF ALL CONCERNED, A SETTLEMENT MAY YET BE REACHED
WHICH WILL PERMIT BELIZE TO PROCEED TO INDEPENDENCE WITH ITS
TERRITORY INTACT.

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 USUN N 04724 03 OF 04 032109Z

70

ACTION IO-10

INFO OCT-01 ARA-10 EUR-12 ISO-00 AF-06 EA-09 NEA-10

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05

PA-02 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-15 DHA-02 /116 W

----- 117219

R 031918Z OCT 75

FM USMISSION USUN NY

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2556

INFO AMEMBASSY GUATEMALA

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MEXICO

AMCONSUL BELIZE

UNCLAS SECTION 3 OF 4 USUN 4724

WE DESIRE A PEACEFUL AND AN HONOURABLE SOLUTION TO THIS
MATTER. IT IS OUR FIRM CONVICTION THAT A SOLUTION WHICH
ACCORDS WITH THE PRINCIPLE OF UNIMPEDED SELF-DETERMINATION
FOR THE PEOPLE OF BELIZE CAN YET BE FOUND BY PURSUING THE
PATH OF NEGOTIATION. WE, FOR OUR PART, WILL CONTINUE TO WORK
TO THAT END.

MR. MOLINA ORANTES (GUATEMALA) (INTERPRETATION FROM SPANISH):
THE DELEGATION OF GUATEMALA FINDS ITSELF OBLIGED TO REPLY TO
SOME OF THE IDEAS EXPRESSED BY THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED
KINGDOM.

IN THE FIRST PLACE, HE REFERRED TO THE DESIRE OF THE COUNTRY HE REPRESENTS TO APPLY THE PRINCIPLE OF SELF-DETERMINATION IN ORDER TO GRANT INDEPENDENCE TO THE TERRITORY OF BELIZE TO WHICH GUATEMALA -- AS I EXPLAINED DURING MY STATEMENT IN TODAY'S MEETING -- HAS LAID CLAIM FOR OVER A CENTURY. THIS CLAIM HAS BEEN CALLED ANACHRONISTIC AND DEVOID OF LEGAL BASIS. NOW, AS TO ITS BEING ANACHRONISTIC, THAT IS A WORD THAT DOES NOT EXIST IN LEGAL LANGUAGE. A CLAIM THAT HAS BEEN MAINTAINED UNINTERRUPTEDLY FOR OVER A CENTURY CANNOT BE QUALIFIED AS ANACHRONISTIC, PARTICULARLY WHEN UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 04724 03 OF 04 032109Z

IT IS BASED ON JUST TITLES AND LEGITIMATE RIGHTS. IN LAW YOU CAN SAY THAT, IF A CLAIM IS NOT MAINTAINED, WITH THE PASSAGE OF TIME IT BECOMES NULL AND VOID. BUT IT CANNOT BE CAPRICIOUSLY DESCRIBED AS ANACHRONISTIC BECAUSE IT GOES AGAINST A PRINCIPLE SUCH AS THAT OF FREE DETERMINATION WHICH, AS I SAID BEFORE, GUATEMALA HAS CONSTANTLY UPHELD, THOUGH ALWAYS WITH THE EXPRESS AND CATEGORICAL RESERVATION THAT THAT PRINCIPLE IS NOT APPLICABLE WHEN IT IN ANY WAY AFFECTS THE NATIONAL UNITY OR THE TERRITORIAL INTEGRITY OF A COUNTRY. AND THIS IS THE CASE WITH REGARD TO THE CLAIM THAT GUATEMALA MAINTAINS.

IT IS TRUE THAT THERE IS A LEGAL QUESTION INVOLVED IN THIS MATTER WHICH CONCERNS THE CONVENTION SIGNED BY GUATEMALA AND THE UNITED KINGDOM IN 1859. AS THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED KINGDOM POINTED OUT, HIS COUNTRY THEN COMMITTED ITSELF TO BUILDING A ROAD WHICH, A HUNDRED YEARS AGO, WAS OF VITAL IMPORTANCE TO MY COUNTRY. THE OFFER NOW MADE TO US TO FULFIL THAT OBLIGATION IS SOMEWHAT BELATED. IT IS THIS OFFER WHICH CAN BE CONSIDERED "ANACHRONISTIC". WE ARE NOT NOW ASKING FOR A ROAD TO BE BUILT BECAUSE IT NO LONGER HAS THE IMPORTANCE IT HAD 100 YEARS AGO.

WHAT GUATEMALA HAS STATED IS THAT, IN ANY BILATERAL CONVENTION, THE FULFILMENT OF OBLIGATIONS BY ONE PARTY IS SUBJECT TO FULFILMENT OF OBLIGATIONS BY OTHER OTHER; THAT IS WHY WE CONSIDER THAT THIS CONVENTION OF 1859 IS NULL AND VOID, BECAUSE THE UNITED KINGDOM DID NOT FULFIL ITS OBLIGATIONS. IN ALL TREATIES -- AND THIS IS KNOWN TO ALL JURISTS -- THERE IS AN IMPLICIT CONDITION, THAT IS TO SAY, THAT IF ONE OF THE PARTIES DOES NOT FULFIL ITS OBLIGATIONS, THE OTHER PARTY DOES NOT HAVE TO FULFIL ITS OBLIGATIONS EITHER. THAT IS WHAT GUATEMALA HAS INVOKED IN ORDER TO CONSIDER THE TREATY OF 1859 AS NULL AND VOID.

WE OPPOSE THE PRINCIPLE OF SELF-DETERMINATION AND

INDEPENDENCE BECAUSE GUATEMALA, WHEN IT ENTERED THE UNITED
NATIONS, DID SO AS A SOVEREIGN NATION, IN ACCORDANCE WITH
THE CONDITIONS LAID DOWN IN THE CHARTER AND WITH THE
RESERVATIONS WHICH MY COUNTRY HAS ALWAYS MAINTAINED. IT
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 USUN N 04724 03 OF 04 032109Z

IS IN CONFORMITY WITH ALL THE RULES OF THE GAME THAT MY
COUNTRY IS WILLING TO FULFIL ITS OBLIGATIONS;
BUT IN THOSE RULES OF THE GAME, AS I SAID PREVIOUSLY, THE
PRINCIPLE OF SELF-DETERMINATION CANNOT BE APPLIED TO WHAT
IS CONSIDERED PART OF OUR NATIONAL TERRITORY.
THAT IS GUATEMALA'S POSITION.

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 USUN N 04724 04 OF 04 032112Z

70

ACTION IO-10

INFO OCT-01 ARA-10 EUR-12 ISO-00 AF-06 EA-09 NEA-10

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05

PA-02 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-15 DHA-02 /116 W

----- 117277

R 031918Z OCT 75

FM USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2557

INFO AMEMBASSY GUATEMALA

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MEXICO

AMCONSUL BELIZE

UNCLAS SECTION 4 OF 4 USUN 4724

WE HAVE BEEN TRYING TO FIND A FORMULA WHICH WOULD RECONCILE THE
INTERESTS AT STAKE AND, AS I SAID PREVIOUSLY, THIS REFERS TO THE
PRESENCE OF A POPULATION IN BELIZE WHICH IS NOT INDIGENOUS;
IT IS THE PRODUCT OF MIGRATORY CURRENTS. IN ANY CASE, IT IS
A POPULATION WHICH DESERVES RESPECT, AND IN OUR TERRITORIAL
DISPUTE WE HAVE TRIED TO RECONCILE OUR TERRITORIAL DISPUTE WITH

THE INTERESTS OF THE POPULATION OF BELIZE. BUT WE WOULD NOT LIKE THE RIGHTS CLAIMED BY CUATEMALA TO BE COMPLETELY SET ASIDE, AND THAT IS WHY WE HAVE TODAY REAFFIRMED THAT WE MAINTAIN THIS CLAIM.

THE ARGUMENT THAT BELIZE DESIRES INDEPENDENCE IS ONE THAT I VERY MUCH QUESTION. UNDOUBTEDLY THE PARTY WHICH IS IN POWER IN THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT HAS MADE STATEMENTS ALONG THOSE LINES, BUT WE HAVE REASON TO CONSIDER THAT THERE ARE OTHER SECTORS OF THE POPULATION OF BELIZE WHICH IS OF GUATEMALAN ORIGIN AND THEREFORE CONSIDERS ITSELF BOUND TO OUR COUNTRY MORE THAN TO GREAT BRITAIN. IT REPRESENTS A DIFFERENT INDEPENDENCE MOVEMENT. WE ARE CONVINCED THAT BEING PART OF ONE GEOGRAPHICAL UNIT OBLIGES US TO USE EVERY MEANS, OUR GOODWILL AND OUR IMAGINATION TO TRY TO FIND A FORMULA FOR TWO PEOPLES THAT HAVE TO LIVE UNITED FOR THE REST OF THEIR LIVES. WHAT GUATEMALA WANTS IS TO CONTINUE THE DIALOGUE WHICH UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 04724 04 OF 04 032112Z

HAS BEEN SO FRUITFUL, HERE IN THE UNITED NATIONS, A DIALOGUE WHERE WE SHALL CONSIDER WITH GOODWILL AND RESPECT THE INTERESTS AT STAKE, THE INTERESTS OF THE POPULATION OF BELIZE. BUT IT SHOULD ALSO BE SAID THAT THE INTERESTS OF THE PEOPLE OF GUATEMALA, WHO HAVE MAINTAINED THIS CLAIM FOR OVER A CENTURY, MUST ALSO BE RESPECTED.

WE WERE INDEED SURPRISED BY WHAT THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED KINGDOM SAID CONCERNING A DECLARATION OF THE NON-ALIGNED STATES. UNFORTUNATELY, GUATEMALA COULD NOT BE HEARD AT THAT CONFERENCE OF NON-ALIGNED COUNTRIES. MY GOVERNMENT IS CONVINCED THAT, IF THE VIEWS OF THE GUATEMALAN GOVERNMENT HAD BEEN HEARD THERE, THE LEGAL BASIS OF ITS STATEMENT WOULD UNDOUBTEDLY HAVE ENSURED THAT THIS DECLARATION WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN ADOPTED. WE CAN ONLY HOPE TO APPEAL TO THE SENSE OF JUSTICE OF THE COUNTRIES WHICH WERE PRESENT THERE. HAD THEY KNOWN THE VIEWS OF BOTH PARTIES IN THIS DIFFICULTY, CERTAINLY THAT DECLARATION WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN ADOPTED. IT IS A PITY, THEREFORE, THAT THE VOICE OF GUATEMALA WAS NOT HEARD AT THE LIMA CONFERENCE OF NON-ALIGNED STATES.

I AM GRATEFUL TO THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED KINGDOM FOR HIS CONCLUDING WORDS, BECAUSE HE DESIRED -- AND WE AGREE WITH HIM -- TO TRY TO FIND A PEACEFUL AND HONOURABLE SOLUTION TO THIS PROBLEM WHICH HAS CAUSED A DETERIORATION OF THE RELATIONS BETWEEN GUATEMALA AND GREAT BRITAIN. AS HE STATED, WE SHOULD MAKE GREATER EFFORTS AT A FUTURE STAGE OF THE NEGOTIATIONS, BRINGING TO THEM ALL OUR GOODWILL AND ALL OUR PATIENCE, CONVINCED AS WE ARE THAT THIS IS THE ONLY POSSIBLE PATH BY WHICH TO ARRIVE AT A SATISFACTORY SOLUTION FOR ALL PARTIES IN THIS DIFFICULTY. UNQUOTE BENNETT

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: TEXT, TERRITORIAL CLAIMS, SPEECHES
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 03 OCT 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975USUNN04724
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750344-0616
From: USUN NEW YORK
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19751086/aaaacyow.tel
Line Count: 439
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION IO
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 8
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: buchant0
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 12 NOV 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <12 NOV 2003 by MaustMC>; APPROVED <15 JAN 2004 by buchant0>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: 30 UNGA: RIGHT OF REPLY STATEMENTS ON GUATEMALAN STATEMENT CONCERN BELIZE
TAGS: PBOR, GT, BH, UK, MX, UNGA
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006